



Genetic Algorithms, Evolution Strategies and AI Tutorial MP3

Thomas Bäck

Center for Applied Systems Analysis (CASA) · Informatik Centrum Dortmund Joseph-von-Fraunhofer-Str. 20 · D-44227 Dortmund

and

Leiden Institute for Advanced Computer Science Niels Bohrweg 1 · NL-2333 CA Leiden

Tutorial Overview (1)

- Computational Intelligence and Evolutionary Algorithms
- General Characteristics of Evolutionary Algorithms
- Evolution Strategies:
 - Representation
 - Mutation
 - Recombination
 - Selection
 - Other Components & Algorithm
- Classification of Parameter Adaptation Methods







Tutorial Overview (2)

- Self-Adaptation in Evolution Strategies
- Self-Adaptation in Evolutionary Programming
- Some Theory of Evolution Strategies
- Application Examples of Evolution Strategies











Evolutionary Algorithms

- 1. Set of candidate solutions (*individuals*): Population.
- 2. Generating candidates by:
 - Reproduction: Copying an individual.
 - Crossover (recombination): ≥ 2 parents $\rightarrow \geq 2$ children.
 - Mutation: 1 parent \rightarrow 1 child.
- 3. Quality measure of individuals: Fitness function, objective function.
- 4. *Survival-of-the-fittest* principle.





Main components of EAs

- 1. Representation of individuals: Coding.
- 2. Evaluation method for individuals: Fitness.
- 3. Initialization procedure for the 1st generation.
- 4. Definition of variation operators (mutation and crossover).
- 5. Parent (mating) selection mechanism.
- 6. Survivor (environmental) selection mechanism.
- 7. Technical parameters (e.g. mutation rates, population size).





'Optimal' Parameter Tuning:

- Experimental tests.
- Adaptation based on measured quality.
- \Rightarrow Self-adaptation based on evolution !





The Evolution Loop







Algorithm Outline

t := 0;initialize P(t);evaluate P(t);while not terminate do P'(t) := select-mates(P(t)); P''(t) := variation(P'(t)); evaluate(P''(t)); $P(t+1) := select(P''(t) \cup P(t));$ t := t + 1;od

- Variation summarizes recombination and mutation.
- Selection can take old parents into account.





Advantages of EAs

- Widely applicable, also in cases where no (good) problem specific techniques are available:
 - Multimodalities, discontinuities, constraints.
 - Noisy objective functions.
 - Multiple criteria decision making problems.
 - Implicitly defined problems (simulation models).
- No presumptions with respect to the problem space.
- Low development costs; i.e. costs to adapt to new problem spaces.
- The solutions of EA's have straightforward interpretations.
- They can be run interactively (online parameter adjustment).





Disadvantages of EAs

- No guarantee for finding optimal solutions within a finite amount of time: True for all global optimization methods.
- No complete theoretical basis (yet), but much progress is being made.
- Parameter tuning is largely based on trial and error (genetic algorithms); solution: *Self-adaptation* (evolution strategies).
- Often computationally expensive: *Parallelism*.





Evolution Strategies: Main Characteristics

- Often continuous search spaces, IR^n .
- Emphasis on mutation: *n*-dimensionally normal-distributed, expectation zero.
- Various recombination operators.
- Deterministic (μ, λ) -selection.
- *Self-adaptation* of strategy parameters: First self-adaptive EA.
- Generation of an offspring surplus $\lambda \gg \mu$.







Representation (1)

Spaces:

• Search space:

• Strategy parameter space (standard deviations and rotation angles of mutation): *Internal model*

 IR^n

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{IR}^{n_{\sigma}}_{+} \times [-\pi, \pi]^{n_{\alpha}}$$

• Individual space:

$$I = \mathrm{IR}^n \times \mathcal{S}$$





Representation (2)

One individual:

$$\vec{a} = (\underbrace{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{\vec{x}}, \underbrace{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n_\sigma})}_{\vec{\sigma}}, \underbrace{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_\alpha})}_{\vec{\alpha}}) \in I$$

The three parts of an individual:

- \vec{x} : Object variables \Rightarrow Fitness $f(\vec{x})$
- $\vec{\sigma}$: Standard deviations \Rightarrow Variances
- $\vec{\alpha}$: Rotation angles \Rightarrow Covariances







Representation (3)

A strategy parameter set

$$s = (\vec{\sigma}, \vec{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{S}$$

- Is *part of* an individual.
- Represents the probability density function (p.d.f.) for its mutation.

n_{σ}	n_{lpha}	Remark
1	0	standard mutation
n	0	standard mutations
n	$n \cdot (n-1)/2$	correlated mutations
$1 \leq n_{\sigma} \leq n$	$(n-rac{n_{\sigma}}{2})(n_{\sigma}-1)$	general case
	۷.	(correlated mutations)

Possible settings of n_{σ} and n_{α} .





Simple Self-Adaptive Mutation (1)

• Simple mutation makes use of normally distributed variations, $N(\xi, \sigma)$.

$$p(\Delta x_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\Delta x_i - \xi)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

- Expectation (ξ) is assumed to equal 0.
- Standard deviation (σ) must be adapted.







Simple Self-Adaptive Mutation (2)

The one-dimensional case:







Simple Self-Adaptive Mutation (3)

- $n_{\sigma} = 1 \Rightarrow$ Low degree of freedom; one step size per individual.
- σ is mutated by multiplying by e^{Γ} , with Γ from a normal probability distribution.
- x_i is mutated by adding some Δx_i from a normal probability distribution.

$$I = IR^{n} \times IR_{+}$$

$$m'_{\{\tau_{0}\}}(\vec{x},\sigma) = (\vec{x}',\sigma')$$

$$\tau_{0} \sim 1/\sqrt{n}$$

$$\sigma' = \sigma \cdot \exp(\tau_0 \cdot N(0, 1))$$

$$x'_i = x_i + \sigma' \cdot N_i(0, 1)$$





Simple Self-Adaptive Mutation (4)







Simple Self-Adaptive Mutation (5)

- Now: $n_{\sigma} = n \Rightarrow$ Higher degree of freedom.
- Object variables x_i have their own, individual step sizes σ_i .

$$I = IR^{n} \times IR^{n}_{+}$$

$$m'_{\{\tau,\tau'\}}(\vec{x},\vec{\sigma}) = (\vec{x}',\vec{\sigma}')$$

$$\tau \sim 1/\sqrt{2\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\tau' \sim 1/\sqrt{2n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_i &= \sigma_i \cdot \exp(\tau' \cdot N(0, 1) + \tau \cdot N_i(0, 1)) \\ x'_i &= x_i + \sigma'_i \cdot N_i(0, 1) \end{aligned}$$





Simple Self-Adaptive Mutation (6)







Correlated Mutation (1)

• Correlated mutation uses the following probability distribution function for $\Delta \vec{x}$:

$$p(\Delta \vec{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{\det C}{(2\pi)^n}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta \vec{x}^T \cdot C\Delta \vec{x}\right)$$

• C^{-1} is the covariance matrix:

$$c_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$$

$$c_{ij,(i \neq j)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{no correlations} \\ \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_j^2) \tan(2\alpha_{ij}) & \text{correlations} \end{cases}$$

• The pdf is just a generalized, *n*-dimensional normal distribution.





Evolution Strategies

Correlated Mutation (2)



Illustration of the mutation ellipsoid for the case n = 2, $n_{\sigma} = 2$, $n_{\alpha} = 1$.





Correlated Mutation (3)

- Now: Up to $n \cdot (n + 1)/2$ degrees of freedom facilitates learning of arbitrary preference directions.
- σ_i is mutated by multiplying by e^{Γ_i} with Γ_i from a normal probability distribution.
- α_j is mutated by adding some Δa_j from a normal probability distribution.
- \vec{x} is mutated by adding some $\Delta \vec{x}$ from an n-dimensional normal distribution $\vec{N}(\vec{0}, C')$.





Correlated Mutation (4)

The formal description:

$$n_{\alpha} = n \cdot (n-1)/2$$

$$I = IR^{n} \times IR^{n}_{+} \times [-\pi, \pi]^{n_{\alpha}}$$

$$m'_{\{\tau, \tau' \beta\}}(\vec{x}, \vec{\sigma}, \vec{\alpha}) = (\vec{x}', \vec{\sigma}', \vec{\alpha}')$$

$$\tau \sim 1/\sqrt{2\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\tau' \sim 1/\sqrt{2n}$$

$$\beta \approx 5^{\circ}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_i &= \sigma_i \cdot \exp(\tau' \cdot N(0, 1) + \tau \cdot N_i(0, 1)) \\ \alpha'_j &= \alpha_j + \beta \cdot N_j(0, 1) \\ \vec{x}' &= \vec{x} + \vec{N}(\vec{0}, C') \end{aligned}$$







Correlated Mutations (5)







Mutation Remarks (1)

Some remarks:

- Standard strategy: $n_{\sigma} = n$, $n_{\alpha} = 0$.
- For correlated mutations:
 - $\vec{\sigma}_c \sim \vec{N}(\vec{0}, C)$ is generated by a multiplication of the uncorrelated random vector $\vec{\sigma}_u$ by n_{α} rotation matrices (Schwefel 1981, Rudolph 1992).

$$\vec{\sigma}_c = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^n R(\alpha_{ij}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_u$$
.

- Exactly the feasible (positive definite) correlation matrices C can be created this way (Rudolph 1992).





Mutation Remarks (2)

Why log-normal distribution for σ_i -modification ?

Probability density function:



log-normal distribution, $\sigma = 1, \mu = 0$.





Mutation Remarks (3)

• Expectation:

$$\mathbf{E}(X) = \exp\left(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)$$

• Median (defined by: $F_X(\exp(\mu)) = \frac{1}{2}$): $\exp(\mu)$ $(\mu = 0 \Rightarrow$ Median is one).

Advantages:

- Identical probability to sample x and $\frac{1}{x}$.
- Small changes more likely than large ones.
- σ_i are guaranteed to remain positive.







Evolution Strategies: Recombination (1)

Basic ideas:

- $I^{\mu} \rightarrow I$, μ parents yield 1 offspring.
- Is applied λ times, typically $\lambda \gg \mu$.
- Is applied to object variables as well as strategy parameters; often different for both.
- Per offspring gene two corresponding parent genes are involved.
- Two ways to recombine two parent alleles:
 - Discrete recombination: Choose one randomly.
 - Intermediate recombination: Average the values.
- Might involve two or (up to) μ parents (global recombination).





Evolution Strategies: Recombination (2)

Different methods:

- *Discrete*: Exchange of variables.
- Intermediary: Averaging of variables.
- In dual (2 parents) and global (up to μ parents) form:
 - Dual: Two parents are chosen at random for the creation of one offspring.
 - Global: One parent is chosen anew for each component of the offspring.
 - Recombination on \vec{x} , $\vec{\sigma}$, $\vec{\alpha}$ is usually different from each other !
 - Most commonly: Discrete recombination on object variables, global intermediate on strategy parameters.





Evolution Strategies: Recombination (3)

Recombination illustrated









Evolution Strategies: Recombination (4)

Example:

- Population size 6, 5 object variables, 5 strategy parameters.
- Local discrete recombination on x_i : 2 parents sampled; random decision for each x_i .
- Global intermediary recombination on σ_i : 1st parent held fixed; 2nd sampled for each x_i ; averaging of parental x_i values.





Evolution Strategies: Selection (1)

- Strictly deterministic, rank-based.
- The μ best ranks are handled equally.
- (μ, λ) -selection:
 - $\ \lambda \gg \mu.$
 - The μ best of the offspring population (P''(t)) survive.
 - Important for self-adaptation.
 - Applicable also for noisy objective functions, moving optima.







- $(\mu + \lambda)$ -selection:
 - $\lambda < \mu$ possible.
 - The μ best out of parents and offspring $(P''(t) \cup P(t))$ survive.
 - Hinders self-adaptation to work.
 - Keeps best solution.
- Selective pressure: Very strong.





Evolution Strategies: Selection (2)

Selective pressure measured by takeover time τ^* :

Definition:

Number of generations until repeated application of selection completely fills the population with copies of the best individual (Goldberg and Deb 1991).

Remarks:

- Result for (μ, λ) -selection (Bäck 1994):
- $\tau^* = \frac{\ln \lambda}{\ln(\lambda/\mu)}$
- $\tau^* \approx 2$ generations for a (15,100)-ES.
- Proportional selection in GAs: $\tau^* \approx \lambda \ln \lambda = 460$ generations!






Evolution Strategies: Other components

- Initialization:
 - x_i, α_i : randomly
 - σ_i : $\delta x_i / \sqrt{n}$, with δx_i a very rough measure for the distance to the optimum.
- Termination:
 - Termination after a number of generations.
 - Or iff $\max\{f(\vec{x}_i(t))\} \min\{f(\vec{x}_i(t))\} \le c(P(t)).$
 - * c(P(t)) absolute (= $\varepsilon_1 > 0$), or
 - * c(P(t)) relative $(= \varepsilon_2 \cdot |\bar{f}|)).$







Evolution Strategies: Algorithm

```
\begin{split} t &:= 0; \\ initialize \ P(0) &:= \{\vec{a}_1(0), \dots, \vec{a}_\mu(0)\} \in I^\mu \text{ where } I = I\!\!R^n \times S; \\ evaluate \ P(0) &: \{f(\vec{x}_1(0)), \dots, f(\vec{x}_\mu(0))\}; \\ \text{while not } terminate(P(t)) \text{ do} \\ recombine: \ \vec{a}'_k(t) &:= r'(P(t)) \ \forall k \in \{1, \dots, \lambda\}; \\ mutate: \ \vec{a}''_k(t) &:= m'_{\{\tau, \tau', \beta\}}(\vec{a}'_k(t)) \ \forall k \in \{1, \dots, \lambda\}; \\ evaluate \ P''(t) &:= \{\vec{a}''_1(t), \dots, \vec{a}''_\lambda(t)\} : \{f(\vec{x}''_1(t)), \dots, f(\vec{x}''_\lambda(t))\}; \\ select: \ P(t+1) &:= \text{ if } (\mu, \lambda) \text{-selection} \\ & \text{ then } s_{(\mu+\lambda)}(P''(t) \cup P(t)); \\ & t &:= t+1; \end{split}
```

od





Classification of Adaptation in EAs (1)

According to (Hinterding, Michalewicz, Eiben, 1997):

Type of adaptation:

- Static (i.e., none: Constant parameter settings).
- Dynamic (i.e., parameters modified during run).
 - Deterministic:
 Parameter altered by some deterministic rule.
 - Adaptive: Monitor progress, use feedback mechanism to determine direction and/or magnitude of change.
 - Self-Adaptive:
 Parameters encoded in individuals, undergo evolution.







Classification of Adaptation in EAs (2)

Level of adaptation:

- Environment: Fitness function changes.
- Population: Concerns global parameters which apply to all population members.
- Individual: Concerns strategy parameters which apply to single individuals.
- Component: Concerns strategy parameters local to some component of an individual.







Classification of Adaptation in EAs (3)

Combinations:

	Deterministic	Adaptive Self-adaptiv	
Environment	E-D	E-A	E-SA
Population	P-D	P-A	P-SA
Individual	I-D	I-A	I-SA
Component	C-D	C-A	C- SA



Self-adaptation principles

- Biological model: Repair enzymes, mutator genes.
- No deterministic control: strategy parameters *evolve*.
- Indirect link between fitness and useful strategy parameter settings.
- Strategy parameters are conceivable as an *internal model* of the local topology.
- Typical approaches: I-SA and C-SA.
- Individual space:

$$I = M \times S$$

- *M*: Search space.
- S: Strategy parameter space.





The crucial claim (Schwefel 1987, 1992):

Self-adaptation of strategy parameters works

- Without exogenous control.
- By recombining/mutating the strategy parameters.
- By exploiting the implicit link between fitness and useful internal model.





Necessary conditions (found by experiments):

- Generation of a surplus, $\lambda > \mu$
- (μ, λ) -selection (to guarantee extinction of misadapted individuals.
- A not too strong selective pressure e.g., (15,100) where $\lambda/\mu \approx 7$, but clearly $\mu > 1$ is neccesary.
- Recombination also on strategy parameters (especially: intermediate recombination).





Empirical Test Design

- With simple functions (with predictable optimal σ_i values), check whether it works.
- Investigate impact of selection.
- Compare with optimal behavior (if known).





Test functions for experiments

• One common step size $(n_{\sigma} = 1)$: Sphere model.

$$f_1(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

• Appropriate scaling of variables $(n_{\sigma} = n)$:

$$f_2(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n i \cdot x_i^2$$

• A metric $(n_{\sigma} = n, n_{\alpha} = n \cdot (n-1)/2)$:

$$f_3(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i x_j\right)^2$$





Experiments

Sketch of the lines of equal probability density

- Left: Standard mutations, $n_{\sigma} = 1$.
- Middle: Standard mutations, $n_{\sigma} = 2$.
- Right: Correlated mutations, $n_{\sigma} = 2$, $n_{\alpha} = 1$.





Experimental Results on Sphere Model (1)



Convergence velocity of a (1,10)-ES vs. that of a (1+10)-ES (sphere model f_1 with n = 30 and $n_{\sigma} = 1$).





Experimental Results on Sphere Model (2)

Progress measure:

$$P_g = \log \sqrt{\frac{f_{\min}(0)}{f_{\min}(g)}}$$

- Counterintuitive: Elitist strategy is a bad choice.
- Misadapted σ might survive in an elitist strategy.
- Forgetting is necessary to prevent stagnation periods.





Time-Varying Sphere Model (1)

- Sphere model, $f(\vec{x}) = \|\vec{x} \vec{x}^*\|^2 = R^2$.
- Optimum location \vec{x}^* is shifted every 150 generations.
- (15,100)-ES, $n_{\sigma} = 1$, n = 30, no recombination.
- Simple model of a dynamic environment (with "catastrophes").





Time-varying Sphere Model (2)



Best objective function value and minimum, average, maximum and optimal standard deviation.





Time-varying Sphere Model (3)

- Standard deviation σ adapts to the optimum value

$$\sigma_{opt} = c_{\mu,\lambda} \frac{R}{n} = c_{\mu,\lambda} \frac{\sqrt{f(\overline{x})}}{n}$$

- Transition time is $g \propto n$ (Beyer 1995).
- ⇒ The principle *learns* the optimal setting of the mutation rate ("internal strategy") without exogenous control.





Self-Adaptation is Collective Learning (1)



Average convergence velocity on f_2







Self-Adaptation is Collective Learning (2)

- $(\mu, 100)$ -ES with $\mu \in \{1, ..., 30\}$
- $n_{\sigma} = n = 30$, and the optimum $\sigma_i \propto 1/\sqrt{i}$ is known.
- Optimum setting of σ_i : $\mu = 1$ performs best.
- Self-adaptation: $\mu = 12$ imperfect, diverse parents are as good as the optimal strategy.
- Individuals exchange information about their "internal models" by recombination.



Self-Adaptation of Covariances (1)



Convergence velocity of ES with correlated mutations vs. one with self-adaptation of standard deviations only, on f_3 .







Self-Adaptation of Covariances (2)

- (15,100)-ES, $n = n_{\sigma} = 10$, $n_{\alpha} = 45$.
- Recombination:
 - Intermediary on x_i .
 - Global intermediary on σ_i .
 - None on α_j (covariances).

Covariances increase effectiveness in case of rotated coordinate systems.





Other Variants for Continuous Search Spaces

• Original EP:

$$\sigma' = \sigma \cdot (1 + \alpha \cdot N(0, 1))$$

Equivalent to log-normal with $n_{\sigma} = 1$, $\tau_0 = \alpha$ (Beyer 1995).

• Two-point distribution:

$$\sigma' = \begin{cases} \sigma \cdot \alpha &, & \text{if } u \sim U(0,1) \leq 1/2 \\ \sigma/\alpha &, & \text{if } u \sim U(0,1) > 1/2 \end{cases}$$

(Mutational step size control after Rechenberg, $\alpha = 1.3$).

• Substitution of N(0,1) by other distributions (e.g., one-dimensional Cauchy, Yao and Liu 1996).





Evolutionary Programming: Purpose

Simulate Evolution as a Learning Process to Generate Artificial Intelligence.

- Intelligence defined as the capability of a system to adapt its behavior to meet its goals in a range of environments (Fogel 1995).
- Intelligence viewed as adaptive behavior.
- Prediction of the environment is a prerequisite to intelligent behavior (prediction and response in the light of a given goal).
- Adaptation is not possible without a capability to predict.





Historical EP

Developed by L. Fogel (1962):

- Evolve a population of finite state machines (FSMs).
- FSMs provide successively better predictions of an environmental sequence.
- Predictions in light of a given goal.





Example of a Finite State Machine (1)







Example of a Finite State Machine (2)

- States $S = \{A, B, C\}$.
- Inputs $I = \{0, 1\}$, outputs $O = \{a, b, c\}$.
- Transition function $\delta: S \times I \to S \times O$.
- Transforms input stream into output stream.





Finite State Machines as Predictors

Performance measured on the basis of the machine's prediction capability, e.g. by $output_i = input_{i+1}$.



present state	С	В	С	А	А	В
input symbol	0	1	1	1	0	1
next state	В	С	А	А	В	С
output symbol	1	1	0	1	1	1

Initial state: C Input string: 011101 Output string: 110111 Good predictions: 60 %







Search Operators

Mutation: Representation "naturally" determines the mutation operators:

- Change an output symbol.
- Change a state-transition.
- Add a state.
- Delete a state.
- Change the start state.

Crossover: None

Normally: All mutations with fixed probabilities p_i .

Here: Self-adaptation of p_i .





Self-adaptation of p_i

According to (Fogel, Angeline, Fogel, 1995):

- Associate p_i with each *component* of the FSM.
- Initial values of mutability parameters: $p_i^0 = 0.001$.
- Modification of strategy parameters p_i :

$$p'_i = p_i + \alpha \cdot N(0, 1)$$

 $(\alpha = 0.01).$

Two alternative methods:
 Selective ↔ multi-mutational.





Selective Self-Adaptation

• Component selection for mutation based on

$$\mathcal{P}\{\text{Select comp. } i\} = \frac{p_i}{\sum p_k}$$

(relative selection probabilities).

- Summation index k running over all components (related to the particular type of mutation).
- $p_i \ge \varepsilon = 0.001$ explicitly guaranteed.
- Mutation of a component depends on p_i of other components.





Multi-Mutational Self-Adaptation

- The p_i are absolute mutation probabilities.
- $0.005 \le p_i \le 0.999$ explicitly guaranteed.
- Mutation of a component independent of p_i of other components.
- Greater diversity of offspring than selective self-adaptation.





Results (1)

Simpler prediction experiment: $(101110011101)^*$









Results (2)

More complex prediction experiment: $(101100111000110010)^*$









Conclusion

Multi-mutational self-adaptation

- explores a larger diversity, and therefore
- is more helpful on complex problems.

 \Rightarrow More work needed !





Modern EP

Applied for continuous parameter optimization

Similar to evolution strategy, with:

- Self-adaptation of *n* standard deviations (meta-EP).
- Self-adaptation of covariances (Rmeta-EP).
- $\mu = \lambda$ (i.e., parent and offspring population size are identical).
- No analogue of recombination.
- Probabilistic $(\mu + \mu)$ -selection.





Mutation operator

Modifies strategy parameters and object variables

$$\sigma'_i = \sigma_i \cdot (1 + \alpha \cdot N_i(0, 1))$$

$$x'_i = x_i + \sigma'_i \cdot N_i(0, 1)$$

Recent results by Beyer (1995):

- For $\tau_0 = \alpha$ (small), $n_{\sigma} = 1$, the ES and EP method behave identically.
- Self-adaptation works for a variety of different pdf's for the modification of step sizes.







Experimental Test (1)

Best objective function value, time-varying sphere model, ES / EP:






Experimental Test (2)

Average mutation rate, time-varying sphere model, ES / EP:







Empirical Findings on Self-Adaptation (1)

- Often, lognormal modifications outperform normal modifications.
 ⇒ EP typically uses the ES method. (Saravanan 1994, Saravanan, Fogel 1994, Saravanan, Fogel, Nelson 1995).
- 2. On noisy objective functions, this behavior inverts (Angeline 1996).
- 3. It is important to modify σ_i first and use σ'_i to modify the object variables (Gehlhaar, Fogel 1996).
- 4. Self-adaptation works also with $(\mu + \lambda)$ -selection.
- 5. Self-adaptation works also with $\mu = \lambda$.
- 6. Self-adaptation works also without recombination. The last three results from (Gehlhaar, Fogel 1996).





Empirical Findings on Self-Adaptation (2)

- \Rightarrow 1. confirms ES findings.
- \Rightarrow 2., 4., 5., 6. contradict ES findings.
 - Definition of self-adaptation ?
 - Quantitative measurement of self-adaptation ?
 - Assessment for more complex objective functions ? (Until now only by experiment).
 - Relation to learning in AI ?







Self-Adaptation: Conclusions

- Powerful & robust parameter control scheme.
- Optimal conditions concerning selection, population size, etc.?
- Perfect adaptation vs. useful diversity or a mixture ?
- Optimal speed of self-adaptation (i.e., learning rate settings) ?
- Few theoretical results.





Self-Adaptation: Individuals as Agents

- Individuals are *autonomous*; internal control of their behavior (mutation).
- Individuals *communicate* by exchanging partial information (recombination).
- Individuals are *reactive* to their environment (objective function).
- Further possibilities:
 - Spatial communication structure (graph).
 - Parallel implementation.
 - More complex internal strategies; including symbolic representation.







Application Fields

- Experimental optimization & optimization with subjective evaluation, e.g.:
 - Coffee recipes; general food recipes.
 - Biochemical fermentation processes.
 - Wind tunnel experiments.
 - Two-phase nozzle optimization experiments.
- Technical optimization:
 - Design & Production.
 - Logistics.
 - Control of dynamic processes.





Application Fields

- Structure optimization, e.g.:
 - Structure & parameters of plants.
 - Connection structure & weights of neural nets.
 - Number of thicknesses of layers in multilayer structures.
- Data analysis, e.g.:
 - Clustering (number & centers of clusters).
 - Fitting models to data.
 - Time series prediction.





Application 1: Hot Water flashing nozzle (1)



At throat : Mach 1 and onset of flashing

1968 AEG





Application 1: Hot Water flashing nozzle (2)









Application 2: Minimal weight truss layout







Application 3: Concrete shell roof







Application 4: Dipole Magnet Structure (1)







Application 4: Dipole Magnet Structure (2)

- Analysis of the magnetic field by Finite Element Analysis (FEM).
- Minimize sum of squared deviations from the ideal.
- Individuals: Vectors of positions (y_1, \ldots, y_n) .
- Middle: 9.82% better than upper graphic; bottom: 2.7% better.





Optical Multilayers (1)



Goal: Find a filter structure such that the real reflection behavior matches the desired one as close as possible.





Optical Multilayers (2)

Problem parameters:

- Thicknesses $\vec{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ of layers.
- Layer materials $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ (integer values).
- Number of layers *n*.
- \Rightarrow Mixed-integer, variable-dimensional problem.







Optical Multilayers (3)

Objective function:

$$f(\vec{d},\vec{\eta}) = \int_{\lambda_d}^{\lambda^u} \left[R(\vec{d},\vec{\eta},\lambda) - \tilde{R}(\lambda) \right]^2 d\lambda$$

- $R(\vec{d}, \vec{\eta}, \lambda)$: Reflection of the actual filter for wavelength λ . Calculation according to *matrix method*.
- $\tilde{R}(\lambda)$: Desired reflection value.







Optical Multilayers (4)

Example topology: Only layer thicknesses vary; n = 2.







Application Examples

Optical Multilayers (5)

Example structure:







Application Examples

Optical Multilayers (6)

Example reflection:







Optical Multilayers (7)

Existing Methods:

- Refinement methods:
 - Initial design constructed by an expert.
 - Local optimization of the initial design.
- Synthesis methods:
 - Without initial design (random start).
 - Automatical global optimization.





Optical Multilayers (8)

Parallel evolutionary algorithm:







Optical Multilayers (9)

Parallel evolutionary algorithm:

- Per node: EA for mixed-integer representation.
- *Isolation* and *migration* of best individuals.
- Mutation of discrete variables: Fixed p_m per population.





Application Examples

Optical Multilayers (10)

Reference Results:



Comparison of literature results, theoretical predictions, and EA results. \Rightarrow Excellent algorithm for the synthesis of filters.



Parallel time series prediction (1)

Goals:

- Combine traditional statistical methods for time series analysis with parallel computational intelligence approaches.
- Estimate the parameters of a model chosen by experts by means of parallel evolutionary algorithms.
- Test the feasibility of the approach with classical statistical models (ARMA-models).
- Use the approach for the long-term sales forecast model of Lewandowski.





Parallel time series prediction (2)

ARMA-problem:

$$x_t = \alpha_1 x_{t-1} + \ldots + \alpha_p x_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t - \beta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} - \ldots - \beta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}$$

- Parameters to be estimated:
 - -p,q
 - $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q$
 - \Rightarrow mixed-integer problem of variable dimension.
- Estimation of error series (ε_t) :

 $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$ estimated in iterative process during the evolutionary algorithm: residuals of actual generation become estimates for error series for following generation.





Parallel time series prediction (3)

Coding (for the example of the α -vector):









Parallel time series prediction (4)

Fitness function:

$$\sum [x_t - (\alpha_1 x_{t-1} + \ldots + \alpha_p x_{t-p} + \hat{\varepsilon}_t - \beta_1 \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} - \ldots - \beta_q \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-q})]^2$$

 \Rightarrow Least-squares function)



Parallel time series prediction (5)







Parallel time series prediction (6)

Results:

- Estimation of error series very successful
- Least squares difference of identified models can compete with statistical software (SAS).
- About 20% of model orders p and q identified correctly.





Parallel time series prediction (7)

Parallel forecasting for sales planning:

- Problems:
 - All influencing parameters have to be considered \Rightarrow High complexity.
 - Updates needed in high frequency (daily, weekly)
 ⇒ Time for calculations very important.
- Example: Forecasting the sales of a passenger car
 - Influencing variables: Price, Standard equipment, Model policy
 - Other factors as e.g. the economy (in form of the gross domestic product, unemployment rate, etc.) have to be taken into consideration.
- Model: Long-term Lewandowski model.





Parallel time series prediction (8)

Results for passenger car problem:

- Model not easy to analyse, therefore treated as black box.
- Quality criterion: reached fit in comparison to a parameter setting based on expert knowledge.
- Comparison of parameter profiles:
 - Mean error in the past for parameter setting
 - * (a): 0.99 (expert's parameter setting).
 - * (b): 0.26 (optimized profile with initialisation by an expert).
 - * (c): 0.25 (optimized profile with random initialisation).





Application Examples







The mutation vector (1)

 $\Delta \vec{x} = \vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$

 Z_1, \ldots, Z_n : (0, σ)-normally distributed random variables.

$$\Rightarrow S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2$$
 is χ^2 -distributed.

Random variable $S = \sqrt{S^2}$: Length of the mutation vector \vec{z} .

After some math:

$$E(S) \approx \sigma \sqrt{n} \quad , \quad V(S) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma$$

- Variance V(S) is independent of n.
- For large n: Offspring located on hypersphere of radius $E(S) \approx \sigma \sqrt{n}$.





The mutation vector (2)







Convergence velocity: Definition

Convergence velocity: Expectation of the distance towards the optimum covered per generation.

$$\varphi = E(\|\vec{x}^* - \vec{x}_t\| - \|\vec{x}^* - \vec{x}_{t+1}\|)$$

Alternatively:

$$\tilde{\varphi} = E(|f(\vec{x}^*) - f(\vec{x}_t)| - |f(\vec{x}^*) - f(\vec{x}_{t+1})|)$$





Convergence velocity of multi-membered ESs (1)

Simplifications:

- No self-adaption.
- One step-size.
- No recombination.
- $\mu = 1$
- \Rightarrow (1 + λ)-strategies, (1, λ)-strategies.




Convergence velocity of multi-membered ESs (2)







Convergence velocity of multi-membered ESs (2) Definition:

 $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_\lambda$ i.i.d. random variables with p.d.f. p(z).

 $Z_{1:\lambda} \leq Z_{2:\lambda} \leq \ldots \leq Z_{\lambda:\lambda}$

is called <u>order statistics</u> of the Z_i . $p_{v:\lambda}(z)$ denotes the p.d.f. of $Z_{v:\lambda}$.

Idea:

Best offspring individual has

- smallest value of $r \Rightarrow r_{1:\lambda}$
- largest value of $z' \Rightarrow Z'_{\lambda;\lambda}$
- Z': projection into direction of origin.

 $Z'_{v:\lambda} \sim N(0,\sigma)$ $Z_{v:\lambda} \sim N(0,1)$





Convergence velocity of multi-membered ESs (3)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}_{(1 \ddagger \lambda)} &= E(R^2 - r_{1:\lambda}^2) \\ r_{v:\lambda}^2 &= l^2 + R^2 - 2R \cdot Z'_{\lambda-v+1:\lambda} \end{split}$$

Some math:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\varphi}_{(1 \dagger; \lambda)} &= E(2R \cdot Z'_{\lambda;\lambda} - \sigma^2 n) = E(2R\sigma \cdot Z_{\lambda;\lambda} - \sigma^2 n) \\ &= \int_{z_{min}}^{\infty} (2R\sigma \cdot z - \sigma^2 n) \cdot p_{\lambda;\lambda}(z) \ dz \\ &= 2R\sigma \int_{z_{min}}^{\infty} z \cdot p_{\lambda;\lambda}(z) \ dz - \sigma^2 n \int_{z_{min}}^{\infty} p_{\lambda;\lambda}(z) \ dz \end{split}$$





Convergence velocity of multi-membered ESs (4)

With:

$$p_{\lambda;\lambda}(z) = \lambda \,\phi(z) \,(\Phi(z))^{\lambda-1} = \frac{d}{dz} \,(\Phi(z))^{\lambda}$$

It follows that:

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{(1,\lambda)} = 2R\sigma \int_{z_{min}}^{\infty} z \cdot \frac{d}{dz} (\Phi(z))^{\lambda} dz - \sigma^2 n \int_{z_{min}}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dz} (\Phi(z))^{\lambda} dz$$





Convergence velocity of $(1, \lambda)$ -ESs (1)

When accepting everything (non-elitist), $z_{min} = -\infty$.

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{(1,\lambda)} = 2R\sigma \cdot c_{1,\lambda} - \sigma^2 n$$

 $c_{1,\lambda} := E(Z_{\lambda;\lambda}) \begin{cases} \text{progress coefficent (Rechenberg)} \\ \text{selection intensity (Mühlenbein)} \end{cases}$







• Asymptotic behaviour: $c_{1,\lambda} \approx \sqrt{2 \ln \lambda}$.





Convergence velocity of $(1, \lambda)$ -ESs (3)

Normalisation of $\tilde{\varphi}$, with $\varphi \approx \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{2R}$, $\varphi' = \frac{\varphi_n}{R}$, $\sigma' = \frac{\sigma n}{R}$

$$\varphi_{1,\lambda}' = c_{1,\lambda}\sigma' - \frac{1}{2}{\sigma'}^2$$

• Optimal standard deviation:

$$\sigma_{opt}' = c_{1,\lambda}$$

• Maximum convergence velocity:

$$\varphi_{max}' = \frac{1}{2}c_{1,\lambda}^2 \approx \ln\lambda$$

Evolution condition: $\sigma' < 2c_{1,\lambda}$ (Guarantees $\varphi' > 0$).





Evolution efficiency

Maximum progress per individual: $e_{1,\lambda}=arphi_{max}'/\lambda$









Convergence velocity of $(1 + \lambda)$ -ESs

• From $r \leq R$ it follows that

$$z_{min} = \frac{\sigma n}{2R}.$$

• Thus:

$$\varphi'_{(1+\lambda)} = \sigma' c_{1+\lambda}(\sigma') - \frac{{\sigma'}^2}{2} \left(1 - \Phi^{\lambda}(\frac{\sigma'}{z})\right)$$

$$c_{1+\lambda}(x) = \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{\infty} z \frac{z}{dz} \Phi^{\lambda}(z) dz$$

No further analytical simplifications are possible.



Convergence velocity: illustration



Normalized convergence velocity φ' as a function of normalized standard deviation σ' .





Convergence velocity of (μ , λ)-ESs (1)

Simplifications:

- No self-adaptation.
- One step-size.
- Recombination:
 - center of mass recombination μ/μ_I (intermediary), or
 - global discete recombination μ/μ_D .





Convergence velocity of (μ, λ)-ESs (2)



Illustration of center of mass recombination





Convergence velocity of (μ , λ)-ESs (3)

$$\varphi_{\mu,\lambda} = \langle R \rangle - E(\langle \tilde{R} \rangle_{\mu,\lambda})$$
$$= \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{v=1}^{\mu} R_v - \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{v=1}^{\mu} r_{v:\lambda}$$

Where:

- $\langle R \rangle$: Average distance to the optimum of parents.
- $\langle \tilde{R} \rangle_{\mu,\lambda}$: Average distance to the optimum of the μ best ofspring.





Convergence velocity of ($\mu/\mu_I, \lambda$)-ESs (1)

Without derivation (Rechenberg '94, Beyer '96):

$$\varphi'_{\mu/\mu_I,\lambda} = c_{\mu,\lambda} \cdot \sigma' - \frac{{\sigma'}^2}{2\mu}$$
 (For $\sigma' \ll n, \mu^2 \ll n$)

• Optimal standard deviation:

$$\sigma'_{opt} = \mu \cdot c_{\mu,\lambda}$$

• Maximum convergence velocity:

$$\varphi_{max}' = \frac{1}{2}\mu \cdot c_{\mu,\lambda}^2$$





Convergence velocity of ($\mu/\mu_I, \lambda$)-ESs (2)

Progress coefficient ($Z_{v:\lambda} \sim N(0,1)$):

$$c_{\mu,\lambda} = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{v=\lambda-\mu+1}^{\lambda} E(Z_{v:\lambda}) \approx \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \cdot \phi(\Phi^{-1}(1-\frac{\mu}{\lambda}))$$
$$\approx O\left(\sqrt{\ln\frac{\lambda}{\mu}}\right)$$

Conjecture:

$$\varphi_{max}' pprox \mu \cdot ln \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$





Convergence velocity of ($\mu/\mu_D, \lambda$)-ESs (1)

Without derivation (Rechenberg '94, Beyer '96):

$$\varphi'_{(\mu/\mu_D,\lambda)} = \sqrt{\mu} \cdot c_{\mu,\lambda} \sigma' - \frac{{\sigma'}^2}{2} \text{ (For } \sigma' \ll n, \mu^2 \ll n \text{)}$$

• Optimal standard deviation:

$$\sigma'_{opt} = \sqrt{\mu} \cdot c_{\mu,\lambda}$$

• Maximum convergence velocity:

$$\varphi_{max}' = \frac{1}{2}\mu \cdot c_{\mu,\lambda}^2$$

Again: $\varphi'_{max} \approx \mu \cdot ln \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$





Interpretation of results

- Genetic repair (Beyer '96): $\mu/\mu_I\text{-}\mathrm{recombination}$ decreases the harmful part of mutation.
- Incest taboo: μ/μ_I -recombination is only useful, if parents are different from each other.
- Implicit genetic repair:

 μ/μ_D -recombination estimates the center of mass corresponding to a species centered around the wild-type.





Summary:

- ESs are powerful search and optimization methods.
- Applicable e.g. to data analysis, fuzzy systems, neural networks etc.
- Self-adaptation is an important, distinguishing feature (learning of internal models).
- A powerful theory is available for ESs; focusing on convergence velocity and global convergence with probability one.
- Individuals can be seen as agents (especially in parallel spatial implementations).



